arXiv:0810.0881v1 [math.NT] 6 Oct 2008

THE STRUCTURE OF THE EXPONENT SET FOR FINITE CYCLIC GROUPS

P.J. DUKES AND S. HERKE

Abstract. We survey properties of the set of possible exponents of subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ (equivalently, exponents of primitive circulant digraphs on n vertices). Let E_n denote this exponent set. We point out that E_n contains the positive integers up to $\sqrt{n}$, the 'large' exponents $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n-1$, and for even $n \geq 4$, the additional value $\frac{n}{2}-1$. It is easy to see that no exponent in $[\frac{n}{2}+1, n-2]$ is possible, and Wang and Meng have shown that no exponent in $[\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 2, \frac{n}{2}-2]$ is possible. Extending this result, we show that the interval $[\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 3, \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 2]$ is another gap in the exponent set E_n. In particular, $11 \notin E_{35}$ and this gap is nonempty for all $n \geq 57$. A conjecture is made about further gaps in E_n for large n.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group, written multiplicatively, and let $S \subset G$. For a positive integer k, define

$$k * S = \{g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k : g_i \in S \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Note that for example $1 * S = S$ and if $G = \mathbb{Z}$, then $2 * S$ represents the *sumset* $S + S$. Should it exist, we declare the least positive integer e with $e * S = G$ to be the *exponent* of S. Essentially, the fundamental question we investigate is:

(*) Given G, what is the set of possible exponents of a subset of G?

This is closely related to the so-called postage stamp problem for a group G; however, the viewpoint is somewhat different. The postage stamp problem (in its natural context of the integers) asks for the realizable amounts of total postage for an envelope, given positive integer stamp values $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m$, and a constraint that at most k stamps be used. See [5] for an excellent reference. On the other hand, in (*) we seek the minimum k so that the possible combinations of postage exhausts the group, and to understand all such k as the generating set varies.

In this article, we focus on (*) for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ (or simply $\mathbb{Z}_n$), the cyclic group of order n. A useful easy fact is that the exponent of S in $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is invariant under both translation $(S + x)$ and multiplication by a unit (uS).

It is very natural in this context to use the language of graph theory, and indeed despite interesting connections to combinatorial number theory, it seems remarkable that this question has not attracted any attention outside of graph theory.

We begin with some standard definitions.

Date: October 5, 2008, and, in revised form, October 6, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A48, 11B75; Secondary 05C20.

Key words and phrases. exponent set, circulant digraph, postage stamp problem, graph homomorphism, sumset.

This research is supported by NSERC.

An *interval* of integers is a set of the form $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq x \leq b\}$. Notation such as $(a, b]$ will also be used in the standard way, when convenient. A *gap* in a set A of integers is a maximal finite interval of integers disjoint from A.

A *directed graph*, or *digraph*, is an ordered pair $D = (V, E)$ where V is a finite, nonempty set of elements called *vertices*, and E is a set of ordered pairs of elements from V. Sometimes V is written $V(D)$ and E is written $E(D)$ when the digraph D is not clear from context. A (*directed*) *arc* $(u, v) \in E$ joins u and v and is usually represented as an arrow drawn from vertex u to vertex v.

A (*directed*) *walk* from u to v is a sequence of vertices $u = a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n = v$ where $(a_i, a_{i+1}) \in E$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. Such a walk has *length* n. A digraph $D = (V, E)$ on $n \geq 2$ vertices is *primitive* if, for some positive integer k, there is a walk of length k between any pair of vertices u and v in D. The smallest such k is the *exponent* of D, and is denoted by $\gamma(D)$. The *exponent set* for a class of primitive digraphs on n vertices is defined as

$$\{t : \gamma(D) = t \text{ for some primitive digraph } D \text{ on } n \text{ vertices}\}.$$

There is a history of research on the exponents of primitive digraphs. In 1950, Wielandt [9] stated that for a digraph D of order n,

$$\gamma(D) \leq (n-1)^2 + 1. \tag{1.1}$$

The upper bound of (1.1) is usually denoted w_n. In 1981, Lewin and Vitek [4] found, for primitive digraphs, all gaps in the exponent set which are contained in $(\lfloor \frac{w_n}{2} \rfloor + 1, w_n]$ and conjectured that there are no gaps in $[1, \lfloor \frac{w_n}{2} \rfloor + 1]$. Zhang [10] proved that this conjecture is true, except in the case $n = 11$.

For a finite multiplicative group G, and a subset S of G, the *Cayley digraph* $\mathtt{Cay}(G, S)$ has vertex set G and (u, v) is an arc of $\mathtt{Cay}(G, S)$ if and only if $u^{-1}v \in S$. It is easy to see that e is an exponent of $S \subseteq G$ if and only if e is an exponent of the graph $\mathtt{Cay}(G, S)$.

The next lemma is a well-known characterization of primitive Cayley digraphs.

Lemma 1.1. ([1, 6]) *Let G be a group of order n, m a positive integer, and $S = \{g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_m\} \subseteq G$. Then $\mathtt{Cay}(G, S)$ is primitive if and only if G is generated by Sg_0^{-1}.*

A *circulant digraph* $C = \mathtt{Circ}(n, S) = \mathtt{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ is a Cayley digraph on the group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ under addition modulo n. From the viewpoint of exponents, we assume without loss of generality that $0 \in S$. If $S = \{0, s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$, Lemma 1.1 states that $\mathtt{Circ}(n, S)$ is primitive if and only if $\gcd(s_1, \ldots, s_m, n) = 1$.

We are primarily interested in the set

$$E_n = \{t : \gamma(C) = t \text{ for some primitive circulant digraph } C \text{ on } n \text{ vertices}\}.$$

Determining E_n is of course equivalent to answering question (*) for the finite cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

Daode [2] proved that $\mathtt{Circ}(n, S)$ has exponent either equal to $n - 1$ or less than or equal to $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and also characterized when equality holds. Then Wang and Meng [8] generalized and extended these results to Cayley digraphs. They proved that if $C = \mathtt{Cay}(G, S)$ then $\gamma(C)$ is either equal to $n - 1$, $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, or $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$, or is less than or equal to $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1$. Thus, there are presently known to be two gaps in E_n.

Our objective here is to further understand the structure of E_n. On the positive side, $[1, \sqrt{n}] \subset E_n$ and $\{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n - 1\} \in E_n$. These exponents are discussed briefly in Section 2. However, in addition to two already known gaps in E_n, we prove in Sections 3 and 4 that $[\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\rfloor+3, \lfloor\frac{n}{3}\rfloor-2]$ is another gap in E_n. This interval is always nonempty for $n \geq 57$ and the first new missing exponent we obtain is $11 \notin E_{35}$. Section 5 concludes the article with a table of known exponent sets and a conjecture on further gaps in E_n.

2. Various known results on the exponent set

We begin with a few of the important known results concerning E_n.

Lemma 2.1. ([2]) *For every integer $n \geq 2$, we have $\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor, n-1 \in E_n$. The subsets $S = \{0,1,2\}$ and $S = \{0,1\}$, respectively, realize these exponents.*

Lemma 2.2. ([8]) *If n is even, then $\frac{n}{2}-1 \in E_n$. This exponent is realized with $S = \{0, 1, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1\}$.*

Theorem 2.3. ([8]) *Let C be a primitive Cayley digraph on an abelian group of order n. Then $\gamma(C)$ is either equal to $n-1$, $\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$, $\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor-1$, or is at most $\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\rfloor+1$.*

Specializing this study of large exponents in $\texttt{Cay}(G,S)$ to circulants, two gaps in E_n become immediate.

Corollary 2.4. *$[\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor, n-2]$ and $[\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\rfloor+2, \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor-2]$ are gaps in E_n.*

Remark. The smallest values of n for which the gaps in Corollary 2.4 are nontrivial are $n = 5$ and $n = 17$, respectively.

Lemma 2.5. ([3]) *For every positive integer n, $\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\rfloor+1 \in E_n$.*

Lemma 2.6. ([3]) *Suppose n and $k \in [3, \lfloor\frac{n}{3}\rfloor]$ are integers such that $n = (k-1)q+r$, where $0 \leq r < k$. If $q \geq r$, then $k \in E_n$.*

This was proved constructively in cases by finding sets S such that $\texttt{Circ}(n,S)$ has diameter k and therefore exponent k. (See Lemma 3.1 below.) It was then noted that if $3 \leq k \leq \sqrt{n}$ then $q \geq r$ and therefore $k \in E_n$ by Lemma 2.6. Thus, the following theorem is a direct corollary to Lemma 2.6.

Theorem 2.7. ([3]) *For every positive integer n, $[1, \sqrt{n}] \subset E_n$.*

There are other integers $k > \sqrt{n}$ that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.6. For example, let $n = 90$. Theorem 2.7 implies that $[1,9] \subset E_{90}$. But the integers $10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 23, 30$ also satisfy Lemma 2.6, and thus are contained in E_{90}. By Lemma 2.5, $31 \in E_{90}$, and by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, $44, 45, 89 \in E_{90}$. Therefore,

$$\{1, 2, \ldots, 13, 15, 16, 18, 23, 30, 31, 44, 45, 89\} \subset E_{90}. \tag{2.1}$$

Even (2.1) does not give the entire exponent set, however, as can be shown with computer searches that yield, for example, $\{17, 19, 21, 24\} \subset E_{90}$.

3. Diameter and exponents

This section summarizes some basic tools which we use to prove our main result.

A *path* is a directed walk in which all of the vertices are distinct. Of course, a shortest walk between two vertices is necessarily a path. A *cycle* is a directed walk of the form $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_0$, where each a_i is distinct, $0 \leq i < n$.

A digraph D is *strongly connected* if for every pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ there is a path from u to v. Certainly, every primitive digraph is strongly connected, and the converse holds for digraphs with 'loops' at each vertex. If D is strongly connected, then the *distance* from vertex u to vertex v is the length of a shortest path from u to v, and is denoted $d(u,v)$. Observe $d(u,u)=0$. The *diameter* of D is defined by $\mathrm{diam}(D)=\max\{d(x,y):x,y\in V\}$. There is an important connection between exponent and diameter in Cayley digraphs.

Lemma 3.1. ([7]) *Suppose* $C=\mathtt{Cay}(G,S)$ *is primitive and* $1_G\in S$. *Then* $\gamma(C)=\mathrm{diam}(C)$.

This leads to the 'path covering technique' for obtaining an upper bound on the diameter of C, used extensively in [8]. Specifically, if there exists a collection of paths of length k, each starting from 1_G and covering all elements in G, then $\gamma(C)\le k$. This is used later in Section 4.

We again specialize to the case $G=\mathbb{Z}_n$, and make an observation connecting the additive order of elements in S with the diameter of $\mathtt{Circ}(n,S)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose* $m\mid n$ *and* $S\subset\mathbb{Z}_n$ *contains an element of order* n/m. *Let* $C=\mathtt{Circ}(n,S)$ *be strongly connected. Then* $\gamma(C)=\mathrm{diam}(C)\le m+n/m-2$.

Proof. Let $C=\mathtt{Circ}(n,S)$ and $D=\mathtt{Circ}(m,T)$, where T is the image of S under the quotient map $f:\mathbb{Z}_n\to\mathbb{Z}_m$. It is immediate that D is strongly connected if C is. Consider any two vertices u,v in C. Then $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are at distance at most $m-1$ in D. Since S contains an element of order n/m, the fibre containing v, namely $f^{-1}(f(v))\subset\mathbb{Z}_n$, induces a directed cycle K of length n/m in C. So we may bound the distance between u and v by a path from u to $w\in f^{-1}(f(v))$, followed by a path from w to v along K. This upper bound is $m-1+(n/m-1)=m+n/m-2$. □

A *homomorphism* of a digraph C to a digraph D is a function $f:V(C)\to V(D)$ such that $(u,v)\in E(C)$ implies $(f(u),f(v))\in E(D)$. In other words, homomorphisms preserve edges. For example, if $m\mid n$, the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}_n\to\mathbb{Z}_m$ induces an (n/m)-to-one homomorphism of circulants. This results in the convenient diameter bound of Lemma 3.2.

4. A NEW GAP IN THE EXPONENT SET

We require a curious lemma, whose proof is easy but technical. Consider the system

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} x & \equiv & at+b \pmod{n}, \\ k & \geq & a+b. \end{array}\right. \tag{4.1}$$

Given positive integers n,t,k, we ask for (4.1) to have a nonnegative integer solution (a,b) for every $x\in\mathbb{Z}_n$. With the path covering technique, it is easy to see that this is equivalent to $\mathtt{Circ}(n,\{0,1,t\})$ having exponent at most k. This system is essentially the crux of question (*).

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $n\ge 28$. *If* $t<\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$, $t\notin\{1,2,3,\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\rfloor,\lfloor\frac{n}{3}+1\rfloor\}$, *and* $k=\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\rfloor+2$, *then system (4.1) has a solution for every* $x\in\mathbb{Z}_n$.

Proof. Consider the intervals $I_a=[at,at+b]$, where a and b are nonnegative integers with $a+b=k$. Rewriting, we have $I_a=[at,a(t-1)+k]$. We show that, for the given values of t and k, $\cup_{a=0}^{k}I_a$ covers a set of representatives for $\mathbb{Z}_n$. The proof is divided into various cases, according to the value of t.

CASE 1: $4\le t<\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\rfloor$.

First, note that this case is vacuous unless $n \geq 20$. We show here that, for a suitably chosen s, intervals $I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_s$ overlap and cover $[0, n-1]$. That they overlap is equivalent to

$$(s-1)(t-1)+k+1 \geq st,$$

or after simplification

$$s \leq k-t+2. \tag{4.2}$$

That they cover is equivalent to

$$s(t-1)+k+1 \geq n.$$

So take

$$s = \left\lceil \frac{n-k-1}{t-1} \right\rceil$$

to satisfy this. We then have

$$s(t-1) \leq n-k-1+(t-2) = n-k+t-3. \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.3), we obtain the requirement $s \leq k$ provided $n-k+t-3 \leq k(t-1)$, or

$$t(k-1) \geq n-3.$$

This is true since $t \geq 4$. Likewise, $s \geq 3$ because $t < \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$.

Substituting the choice of s into (4.2) and simplifying, we must check that

$$t^2-(k+2)t+(n-1) \leq 0.$$

As $t < k-3$ in this case, it suffices to have

$$(k+2)^2-4(n-1) \geq (k-8)^2,$$

or equivalently $5(k-3) \geq n-1$. This holds for every $n \geq 28$, given the choice of $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 2$. Therefore, for all values of t in this range, the intervals $I_0, \ldots, I_s$ overlap and cover.

Case 2: $t = \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$.

In this case, we have $k = t+2$ and $n \in \{4t, 4t+1, 4t+2, 4t+3\}$. It turns out that an improvement to (4.3) is possible, since

$$n-k-1 \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3 \pmod{t-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$s(t-1) \leq n-k-1+(3) = n-k+2. \tag{4.4}$$

Working otherwise as in Case 1, we require the inequality

$$t^2-(k+3)t+(n-4) \leq 0.$$

It is sufficient to check

$$(k+3)^2-4(n-4) \geq (k-7)^2,$$

or $5(k-2) \geq n-4$. This follows as in Case 1.

Case 3: $\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor < t < \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$.

This case is somewhat delicate. Roughly speaking, we show that by taking every third interval I_a, these overlap and cover $\mathbb{Z}_n$ in four pieces. First, consider sets

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= I_0, \\ J_1 &= I_1 \cup I_4 \cup \cdots \cup I_{3r+1}, \\ J_2 &= I_2 \cup I_5 \cup \cdots \cup I_{3r+2}, \\ J_3 &= I_3 \cup I_6 \cup \cdots \cup I_{3r+3}. \end{aligned}$$

We would like to choose suitable r so that each J_i is comprised of overlapping intervals when reduced modulo n. In general, intervals I_s and $I_{s+3} - n$ overlap if and only if

$$(s+3)(t-1) + k + 1 - n \geq st,$$

or, simplifying,

$$s \leq 3t + k - 2 - n.$$

Therefore, it suffices to put $r = \lfloor t + \frac{1}{3}(k-2-n) \rfloor$, whence

$$3r \geq 3t + k - 4 - n. \tag{4.5}$$

Now the J_i cover the following representatives in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= [0, k], \\ J_1 &= [(3r+1)t - rn, t - 1 + k], \\ J_2 &= [(3r+2)t - rn, 2(t-1) + k], \\ \text{and } J_3 &\supseteq [(3r+3)t - rn, n-1]. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to ensure these four intervals overlap, namely that

$$r(n - 3t) \geq t - k + 1. \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.5) and (4.6), it suffices to have

$$(3t + k - 4 - n)(n - 3t) \geq 3(t - k + 1).$$

As in cases 1 and 2, this quadratic inequality holds for $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 2$ and t in the given range.

Case 4: $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1 < t < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

The details of this remaining case are not substantially different from previous cases, and so are left to the interested reader. □

Now, by analogy with Corollary 2.4, we point out an additional gap in E_n.

Theorem 4.2. *For each integer k such that $\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor + 3 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 2$, there is no primitive circulant digraph on n vertices with exponent k.*

Proof. Suppose $C = \mathtt{Circ}(n, S)$ is primitive with diameter in $(\frac{n}{4} + 2, \frac{n}{3} - 1)$. By Lemma 3.2, the only possible orders for elements in S are $1, 2, 3, n/3, n/2, n$. Assume without loss of generality that $0 \in S$, so that the remaining possible orders are $2, 3, n/3, n/2, n$.

Case 1: S has an element of order 2 or 3.

It is easy to dispense with these situations. If S contains an element of order 2, then consider the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{n/2}$. By Corollary 2.4, the induced homomorphic image, say $D = \mathtt{Circ}(n/2, T)$, has diameter either $n/2 - 1$, or at most $\lfloor n/4 \rfloor$. In the latter case, we have $\mathrm{diam}(C) \leq \mathrm{diam}(D) + 1 \leq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 1$, a contradiction. In the former case, T contains only one nonzero element in $\mathbb{Z}_{n/2}$, and so $S \subseteq \{0, x, n/2, n/2+x\}$ for some x with $\gcd(x, n/2) = 1$. Therefore, element $(n/2-1)x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is at distance $n/2-1$ from 0. The diameter of C is therefore at least $n/2-1$. Similarly, if S contains an element of order 3, then it must be that $S \subseteq \{0, x, n/3, n/3+x, 2n/3, 2n/3+x\}$ and $\mathrm{diam}(C) \geq \frac{n}{3}-1$, another contradiction.

Case 2: S contains a generator; i.e. an element of order n.

We may assume, by multiplying by a suitable unit in $\mathbb{Z}_n$, that $1 \in S$. Pick $t \in S \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Without loss of generality, $t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Otherwise, replace S by $1 - S \supseteq \{0, 1, n+1-t\}$, yielding the same exponent. This works unless n is odd and $t = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, in which case replace S by $2S \supseteq \{0, 2, 1\}$, again with the same exponent.

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\gamma(C) \leq \mathrm{diam}(\texttt{Circ}(n, \{0, 1, t\}) \leq \frac{n}{4} + 2,$$

unless

$$t \in \left\{2, 3, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Multiplying either by 2 or 3 as necessary, we find an equivalent S with

$$S \subseteq \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

By considering $S+1$ and $S+2$, it is straightforward to check that the only instances of exponent $> n/4 + 2$ are

- $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$, with exponent $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,
- $S = \{0, 1, 3\}$, with exponent $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1$, or
- $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, with exponent $\lceil \frac{n-1}{3} \rceil \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1$.

Case 3: $S \setminus \{0\}$ has only elements of order $n/3$ and $n/2$.

Since C is primitive, there must be an element of both of these orders, and $6 \mid n$. We may also assume that $2 \in S$, multiplying by a unit if necessary.

If $\{0, 2, 3t\} \subseteq S$, for some unit $t \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, then consider $S - 2$, having the same exponent as S. This set contains $3t-2$, which cannot have order $n/2$ (since $3t-2$ is odd) and likewise cannot have order $n/3$. Therefore, the order of $3t-2$ displaces $S-2$ into a previously considered case. □

5. Conclusion

Table 1 summarizes E_n for small n, determined using a quick computer search. The algorithm simply generates various subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ at random, and records new exponents. Nonexistence results in Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 4.2 complete the exact determination of these exponent sets.

It appears that a potential fourth gap emerges at $n = 65$, as we are unable to realize exponent 15. This is the smallest undecided case. Based on this and the previously proven gaps in E_n, the following conjecture seems reasonable.

Conjecture 5.1. *For every positive integer k, there exist integers a, b such that $[\frac{n}{k+1} + a, \frac{n}{k} + b]$ is disjoint from E_n.*

When considering Conjecture 5.1, a natural question that arises is how large n must be for there to be a gap of the form $[\frac{n}{k+1} + a, \frac{n}{k} + b]$ for given k? One may guess that perhaps $n \in O(k^3)$ for the emergence of this gap.

TABLE 1. Exponent sets E_n for small n.

n	E_n	n	E_n	n	E_n
5	1 2 4	25	1..9 12 24	45	1..16 22 44
6	1 2 3 5	26	1..9 12 13 25	46	1..13 15 16 22 23 45
7	1 2 3 6	27	1..10 13 26	47	1..13 16 23 46
8	1..4 7	28	1..10 13 14 27	48	1..17 23 24 47
9	1..4 8	29	1..10 14 28	49	1..14 16 17 24 48
10	1..5 9	30	1..11 14 15 29	50	1..14 17 24 25 49
11	1..5 10	31	1..11 15 30	51	1..14 16 17 18 25 50
12	1..6 11	32	1..11 15 16 31	52	1..15 17 18 25 26 51
13	1..6 12	33	1..12 16 32	53	1..15 18 26 52
14	1..7 13	34	1..12 16 17 33	54	1..15 19 26 27 53
15	1..7 14	35	1..10 12 17 34	55	1..15 19 27 54
16	1..8 15	36	1..13 17 18 35	56	1..16 19 27 28 55
17	1..6 8 16	37	1..13 18 36	57	1..16 19 20 28 56
18	1..9 17	38	1..11 13 18 19 37	58	1..16 20 28 29 57
19	1..7 9 18	39	1..14 19 38	59	1..16 20 29 58
20	1..7 9 10 19	40	1..14 19 20 39	60	1..17 21 29 30 59
21	1..8 10 20	41	1..12 14 20 40	61	1..17 20 21 30 60
22	1..8 10 11 21	42	1..14 15 20 21 41	62	1..17 21 30 31 61
23	1..8 11 22	43	1..12 14 15 21 42	63	1..17 21 22 31 62
24	1..9 11 12 23	44	1..13 15 21 22 43	64	1..18 22 31 32 63

Should this hold, it will follow that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|E_n|}{n} = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

since the telescoping sum $\sum \frac{1}{k(k+1)}$ of "gap density" can be made arbitrarily close to 1. Investigation of (5.1) is an interesting direction of research in its own right.

Proving Conjecture 5.1 seems possible for $k = 4$ with similar techniques, but is likely to be tedious. For instance, although there is nothing deep in Lemma 4.1, it appears unpleasant to generalize. We omit these details until better ideas are developed.

REFERENCES

[1] K. Butler and J.R. Krabill, Circulant Boolean relation matrices, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* 24 (1974), 247-251.

[2] H. Daode, On circulant Boolean matrices, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* 136 (1990), 107-117.

[3] S. Herke, G. MacGillivray and P. van den Driessche, Exponents of primitive digraphs, preprint.

[4] M. Lewin and Y. Vitek, A system of gaps in the exponent set of primitive matrices, *Illinois J. Math.* 25 (1981), 87-98.

[5] J.L. Ramírez Alfonsin, *The Diophantine Frobenius Problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Applications, 30 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005).

[6] S. Schwarz, Circulant Boolean relation matrices, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* 24 (1974), 252-253.

[7] J. Shen and D.A. Gregory, Exponents of vertex-transitive digraphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 212 (2000), 245-255.

[8] J.Z. Wang, J.X. Meng, The exponent of the primitive Cayley digraphs on finite Abelian groups, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 80 (1997), 177-191.

[9] H. Wielandt, Unzerlegbare, nicht negative Matrizen, *Math. Zeit.* 52 (1950), 642–645.

[10] K. Zhang, On Lewin and Vitek's Conjecture about the exponent set of primitive matrices, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* 96 (1987), 101-108.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada, V8W3R4
E-mail address: `dukes@uvic.ca, sarada@uvic.ca`